revocation or calculation of good time credits)

	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
	for the RECEIVE
	Sean Midgette MAY 0 3 2021 AT 8:30
	Petitioner) WILLIAM T. WALSH CLERK
	v. Case No.
	(Supplied by Clerk of Court)
	Warden N'Diaye
(nam	Respondent ne of warden or authorized person having custody of petitioner)
	PETITION FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 2241
	Personal Information
1.	(a) Your full name: Sean Midgette
	(b) Other names you have used:
2.	Place of confinement:
	(a) Name of institution: FCI Fort Dix
	(b) Address: PO Box 2000, Joint Base MDL, NJ 08640
	(c) Your identification number: 58 71 4 = 0.37
3.	(c) Your identification number: 58714-037 Are you currently being held on orders by:
Э.	The you currently being field on orders by. The you currently being field on orders by.
4.	Are you currently:
	☐ A pretrial detainee (waiting for trial on criminal charges)
	Serving a sentence (incarceration, parole, probation, etc.) after having been convicted of a crime
	If you are currently serving a sentence, provide:
	(a) Name and location of court that sentenced you: United States District Court for
	direct butter bistrict wait for
	(b) Docket number of criminal case: 8-15-cr-00281
	(c) Date of sentencing: 7/18/16
	Being held on an immigration charge
	Other (explain):
	Decision or Action You Are Challenging
5.	What are you challenging in this petition:
٥.	How your sentence is being carried out, calculated, or credited by prison or parole authorities (for example,

Case 1:21-cv-10607-NLH Document 1 Filed 05/03/21 Page 2 of 13 PageID: 2 AO 242 (Rev. 09/17) Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 Pretrial detention ☐ Immigration detention □ Detainer ☐ The validity of your conviction or sentence as imposed (for example, sentence beyond the statutory maximum or improperly calculated under the sentencing guidelines) ☐ Disciplinary proceedings Other (explain): Provide more information about the decision or action you are challenging: 6. (a) Name and location of the agency or court: FCI Fort Dix, Joint Base MDL, NJ 08640 (b) Docket number, case number, or opinion number: (c) Decision or action you are challenging (for disciplinary proceedings, specify the penalties imposed): Warden N'Diaye, through Case Manager White is denying application of Earned Time Credits pursuant to §3582(d)(4)(A) (d) Date of the decision or action: April 1, 2021 Your Earlier Challenges of the Decision or Action 7. First appeal Did you appeal the decision, file a grievance, or seek an administrative remedy? ☐ Yes (a) If "Yes," provide: (1) Name of the authority, agency, or court: N/A (2) Date of filing: N/A (3) Docket number, case number, or opinion number: N/A (4) Result: N/A (5) Date of result: (6) Issues raised: (b) If you answered "No," explain why you did not appeal: The question Petitioner raises regards statutory language exempt from the administrative grievance process.

8. Second appeal

After the first appeal, did you file a second appeal to a higher authority, agency, or court?

☐ Yes

No No

	(2) Date of filing: N/A
	(3) Docket number, case number, or opinion number: N/A
	(4) Result: N/A
	(5) Date of result: N/A
	(6) Issues raised: N/A
(b) 14	'vou anavoned "No " ounlain why you did not file a gooond annual.
(D) II	you answered "No," explain why you did not file a second appeal:
Third	appeal
	the second appeal, did you file a third appeal to a higher authority, agency, or court?
☐ Yes	
	"Yes," provide:
(ω) 11	(1) Name of the authority, agency, or court: N/A
	(1) Name of the authority, agency, of court. N/A
	(2) Date of filing: N/A
	(3) Docket number, case number, or opinion number: N/A
	(4) Result: N/A
	(5) Date of result: N/A
	(6) Issues raised: N/A
(b) If	(6) Issues raised: N/A you answered "No," explain why you did not file a third appeal:
(b) If	you answered "No," explain why you did not file a third appeal:
(b) If	you answered "No," explain why you did not file a third appeal:
	you answered "No," explain why you did not file a third appeal:
Motio	you answered "No," explain why you did not file a third appeal:
Motio In this	you answered "No," explain why you did not file a third appeal: on under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 s petition, are you challenging the validity of your conviction or sentence as imposed?
Motion In this □ Yes	you answered "No," explain why you did not file a third appeal: on under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 s petition, are you challenging the validity of your conviction or sentence as imposed?

	If "Yes," provide: (1) Name of court:
	(2) Case number:
	(3) Date of filing:
	(4) Result:
	(5) Date of result:
	(6) Issues raised:
(b)	Have you ever filed a motion in a United States Court of Appeals under 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(3)(A), seeking permission to file a second or successive Section 2255 motion to challenge this conviction or
	sentence?
	☐ Yes No
	If "Yes," provide:
	(1) Name of court:
	(2) Case number: (3) Date of filing:
	(4) Pagult
	(5) Date of result:
	(6) Issues raised:
	•
(c)	Explain why the remedy under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 is inadequate or ineffective to challenge your
(c)	
(c)	Explain why the remedy under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 is inadequate or ineffective to challenge your
Appea	Explain why the remedy under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 is inadequate or ineffective to challenge your conviction or sentence:
Appea Does t	Explain why the remedy under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 is inadequate or ineffective to challenge your conviction or sentence: als of immigration proceedings this case concern immigration proceedings?
Appea	Explain why the remedy under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 is inadequate or ineffective to challenge your conviction or sentence: als of immigration proceedings this case concern immigration proceedings?
Appea Does t	Explain why the remedy under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 is inadequate or ineffective to challenge your conviction or sentence: als of immigration proceedings this case concern immigration proceedings? No If "Yes," provide:
Appea Does to Tyes	Explain why the remedy under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 is inadequate or ineffective to challenge your conviction or sentence: als of immigration proceedings this case concern immigration proceedings? No If "Yes," provide: Date you were taken into immigration custody:
Appea Does t	Explain why the remedy under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 is inadequate or ineffective to challenge your conviction or sentence: als of immigration proceedings this case concern immigration proceedings? No If "Yes," provide:

Case 1:21-cv-10607-NLH Document 1 Filed 05/03/21 Page 5 of 13 PageID: 5

	(1) Date of filing: (2) Case number: (3) Result: (4) Date of result: (5) Issues raised:				
(d)	Did you appeal the decision to the United States Court of Appeals?				
	□ Yes ☑ No				
	If "Yes," provide:				
	(1) Name of court:				
	(2) Date of filing: (3) Case number:				
	(4) Result:				
	(5) Date of result:				
	(6) Issues raised:				
	ther appeals ther than the appeals you listed above, have you filed any other petition, application, or motion about the is				
Other	than the appeals you listed above, have you filed any other petition, application, or motion about the is				
Other raised	than the appeals you listed above, have you filed any other petition, application, or motion about the is in this petition?				
Other raised Yes	than the appeals you listed above, have you filed any other petition, application, or motion about the is in this petition?				
Other raised Yes	than the appeals you listed above, have you filed any other petition, application, or motion about the is in this petition?				
Other raised Yes If "Yes (a) K	than the appeals you listed above, have you filed any other petition, application, or motion about the is in this petition? No No es," provide:				
Other raised Yes If "Yes (a) K	than the appeals you listed above, have you filed any other petition, application, or motion about the is in this petition? No No es," provide: ind of petition, motion, or application:				
Other raised Tyes If "Yes (a) K (b) N	than the appeals you listed above, have you filed any other petition, application, or motion about the is in this petition? No No es," provide: ind of petition, motion, or application: Iame of the authority, agency, or court:				
Other raised Tyes If "Yes (a) K (b) N (c) D (d) D (e) Re	than the appeals you listed above, have you filed any other petition, application, or motion about the is in this petition? So No es," provide: Lind of petition, motion, or application: Lame of the authority, agency, or court: Late of filing: Locket number, case number, or opinion number: Locket number of the authority of the				
Other raised Tyes If "Yes (a) K (b) N (c) D (d) D (e) Re (f) D (d)	than the appeals you listed above, have you filed any other petition, application, or motion about the is in this petition? No es," provide: Ind of petition, motion, or application: Itame of the authority, agency, or court: Pate of filing: Pocket number, case number, or opinion number: esult: ate of result:				
Other raised Tyes If "Yes (a) K (b) N (c) D (d) D (e) Re (f) D (d)	than the appeals you listed above, have you filed any other petition, application, or motion about the is in this petition? Some of the authority, agency, or court: Socket number, case number, or opinion number: esult: ate of result:				
Other raised Tyes If "Yes (a) K (b) N (c) D (d) D (e) Re (f) D (d)	than the appeals you listed above, have you filed any other petition, application, or motion about the is a line in this petition? So No es," provide: Lind of petition, motion, or application: Idame of the authority, agency, or court: Pate of filing: Pocket number, case number, or opinion number: Lesult: Late of result:				
Other raised Tyes If "Yes (a) K (b) N (c) D (d) D (e) Re (f) D (d)	than the appeals you listed above, have you filed any other petition, application, or motion about the is a line in this petition? So No es," provide: Lind of petition, motion, or application: Idame of the authority, agency, or court: Pate of filing: Pocket number, case number, or opinion number: Lesult: Late of result:				
Other raised Tyes If "Yes (a) K (b) N (c) D (d) D (e) Re (f) D (d)	than the appeals you listed above, have you filed any other petition, application, or motion about the is a line in this petition? So No es," provide: Lind of petition, motion, or application: Idame of the authority, agency, or court: Pate of filing: Pocket number, case number, or opinion number: Lesult: Late of result:				

Grounds for Your Challenge in This Petition

13. State every ground (reason) that supports your claim that you are being held in violation of the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States. Attach additional pages if you have more than four grounds. State the facts supporting each ground. Any legal arguments must be submitted in a separate memorandum.

GROUND ONE: Warden N'Diaye, as represented by Case Manager White has violated §3632(d)(4)(A) by not applying Earned Time Credits		
to Petitione	's sentence computation	
	S (Be brief. Do not cite cases or law.):	
	Ground One in all appeals that were available to you?	-
□Yes N/A	□No	
GROUND TWO:	N/A	
4	S (Be brief. Do not cite cases or law.):	** ************************************
(b) Did you present	Ground Two in all appeals that were available to you?	
GROUND THREE:	N/A	
(a) Supporting facts	(Be brief. Do not cite cases or law.):	
N/A		
		,
(b) Did you present ☐ Yes	Ground Three in all appeals that were available to you?	

	GROUND FOUR: N/A				
	(a) Supporting facts (Be brief. Do not cite cases or law.): N/A	•			
	(b) Did you present Ground Four in all appeals that were available to you? Tyes TNo				
14.	If there are any grounds that you did not present in all appeals that were available to you, explain why you did				
	not: N/A				
15.	Request for Relief PURSUANT 28 U.S.C. § 2243 State exactly what you want the court to do: I am requesting as stated in Federal Statute				
	at 2243 under Title 28 U.S.C., as follows:				
	1. THAT: This Court shall forthwith award the Writ of habeas corpus discharge based upon my Application and Petition supported by my sworn Affidavit, therewith releasing me from the incarceration portion of the USDC's judgment sentence to the Supervised Release custody of the judgment sentence.				
	2. OR: Issue a show cause Order to named Immediate Custodian commanding named Immediate Custodian to make the return within three days, unless more time is needed but not to exceed 20 days, of the record Certifying the true cause of citizen Sean Midgette's detention.				
	3. THAT: Where named Immediate Custodian's return fail or refuse to present the record Certifying the true case of citizen Sean Midgette's detention and/or admit acquiesce to citizen Sean Midgette's sworn Affidavit material facts now before this Court, that citizen Sean Midgette be Ordered discharged by the Writ from all furthe custody of named Immediate Custodian to the Supervised Release term of the USDC's judgment sentence.	3			
	4. THAT: This Court issue any further relief in this matter as law and justice require.				

Declaration Under Penalty Of Perjury

If you are incarcerated, o	on what date did you place this petition in the prison mail system:
	that I am the petitioner, I have read this petition or had it read to me, and the and correct. I understand that a false statement of a material fact may serve as the basis
Date: 4//9/21	Slan Mudgette Signature of Petitioner
	Signature of Attorney or other authorized nervon if any

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

Sean Midgette, Petitioner Pro Se,

v.

Case No.:

Warden N'Diaye, Respondent.

MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONER'S PETITION FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS UNDER 28 U.S.C. §2241

NOW COMES pro se Petitioner Sean Midgette (Midgette) who respectfully submits this Memorandum of Law in support of his Writ for Habeas Corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2241.

Relevant Information

The Respondent's denial of Petitioner's request that Earned Time Credits pursuant to §3632(d)(4)(A) be applied to his prison sentence computation, as represented through the actions of Case Manager S. White and Unit Manager K. Byrd, violate the Intent of Congress when it passed the First Step Act (18 U.S.C. §3632, hereafter referred to as The Act). Respondent's denial of said request also defied this Court's recent opinions in Goodman v. Ortiz, No. 20-7582(RMB) and Hare v. Ortiz, No. 20-14093.

As stated in Petitioner's attached Sworn Affidavit, on March 15, 2021 inmate Sean Midgette requested Earned Time Credits be applied to his sentence computation due to his participation in the Residential Drug Abuse Program (RDAP). This request was submitted to Midgette's Case Manager, S. White via BP-A148.055,

commonly called an Inmate Request to Staff (seen in Exhibit 5 of Sworn Affidavit). At this time, Case Manager White was made aware of this court's recent decisions in Goodman and Hare.

Case Manager White took 14-days to respond to Midgette's request, denying said request on March 30, 2021, stating: "Earned Time Credits will be awarded by January 15, 2022, two years after completing the risk and needs assessment."

This response was a direct contradiction to the <u>Goodman</u> decision. Midgette appealed his denial to his Unit Manager, K. Byrd on April 1, 2021, who gave the same reasoning for denial.

Legal Precedence for Petitioner's Writ of Habeas Corpus

On June 15, 2020, pro se Petitioner Arych Goodman filed a Writ of Habeas Corpus Petition under 28 U.S.C. §2241 due to FCI Fort Dix, as represented by then Warden David Ortiz's refusal to apply Earned Time credits to Goodman's sentence computation after he successfully completed evidence-based recidivism reduction programs approved for Earned Time credit by the Bureau of Prisons (BOP) as provided by the First Step Act. After considering Respondent's arguments this Court found for Goodman, stating in its opinion:

The BOP's position that a prisoner can complete the PATTERN program before January 15, 2022 with no benefit to the prisoner is contrary to the statutory language, not to mention the unfairness of such a result (pg. 6).

This Court awarded Goodman 120-days of Earned Time credits. It then reaffirmed the <u>Goodman</u> opinion in <u>Hare v. Ortiz</u>, No. 20-14093.

Exhaustion of Administrative Remedies

Petitioner disputes Respondent's construction of statute §3632. This dispute over statutory language and intent is therefore exempt from the requirement that Midgette exhaust his administrative remedies (see <u>Coleman v. U.S. Parole Comm'n</u>, 644 F. Appx 159, 162 (3d Cir. 2016) and <u>Harris v. Mann</u>, 792 F.2d 52, 54 n.2 (3d Cir. 1986)).

Statutory Construction of The Act

A federal agency such as the BOP, may only use its discretion in implementing a law when the statute is ambiguous or the Intent of Congress is unknown. However, in this matter, the statute is not ambiguous and this Court, in ruling on Goodman, has already provided the BOP with a clear interpretation of its responsibility under The Act. For the sake of discussion, Petitioner reiterates that 18 U.S.C. §3621(h)(2) allows for a phase-in period between the time the PATTERN program went into effect until January 15, 2022. However, §3621 subsection (h)(3) gives priority to inmates based on proximity to release date. As found, the phase-in language of §3621(h)(2) this Court purposefully ensures all prisoners have the opportunity to participate in needed recidivism reduction programs.

Regarding whether the BOP is required to grant Earned Time Credits to Midgette, Petitioner once again returns to this Court's opinion in <u>Goodman</u>, "Thus, by making it a priority to provide the programs to prisons based on proximity to their

release dates, the statute makes it clear that prisoners who earned sufficient time credits <u>during the phase-in period</u> could be released prior to the end-date..." (emphasis is this Court's). There is no ambiguity of the statute's meaning or in this Court's ruling.

Comparison of Midgette and Goodman

Like Goodman, Midgette participated in and completed evidence-based recidivism reduction programming after January 15, 2020. In Midgette's case he completed the much vaunted 500-hour RDAP, graduating on April 17, 2020. He then chose to remain in the RDAP housing unit, continuing to program and serve as a Mentor and "Big Brother" until late September, 2020. Like Goodman, Midgette was denied Earned Time Credits for his program participation. But unlike Goodman, Midgette's Case Manager and Unit Manager were aware of this Court's ruling in both Goodman and Hare and chose to ignore them. In fact, Case Manager White's justification of her denial to Midgette's request directly contradicted the stated opinion of this Court, though she had been given the case as part of Midgette's request.

Conclusion

As of the date of this filing, Midgette's projected release date is July 13, 2022. He is currently awaiting RRC placement projected on or around December, 2021. In upholding the standard established in <u>Goodman</u> and affirmed in <u>Hare</u> this Court should order the BOP, through its officers Case Manager White and Unit Manager Byrd, to award Midgette 90-days of Earned Time Credits,

revising his release date to April 13, 2022.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 4/19/21

Sean Midgette

Reg. No. 58714-037

FCI Fort Dix PO Box 2000

Joint Base MDL, NJ 08640

Certificate of Service

Mr. Midgette has filed this motion via the prison Mail Box Rule under <u>Houston v. Lack</u>, 478 U.S. 266 (1988) on <u>4/28/21</u>.

Please electronically submit a copy to the United States Attorney for the District of New Jersey due to the fact that during the COVID-19 pandemic inmates do not have access to the physical Law Library where the addresses for U.S. Attorneys are held.

Sean Midgette